


# CBCT bone-densitometry for pre-surgical decision-making

Prof. Angelo Trödhan, Austria




## Introduction

The high prevalence of tooth-related diseases, a growing geriatric population and a rapidly growing awareness to replace lost teeth by dental implants force dentists, oral and maxillofacial surgeons to cope with promises made by implant manufacturers such as “new teeth in one hour”. While implant manufacturers try to maximise their sales numbers by such marketing strategies, it will always be the practitioner’s full responsibility to treat patients with strictly evidence-based treatment protocols, especially when it comes to the immediate functional loading of dental implants.

Esposito et al. (2007), Javed et al. (2010), Walker et al. (2011) and Cannizzaro et al. (2012) proved in reviews, Cochrane studies and split-mouth randomised clinical trials that primary implant stability—represented by insertion torque values (ITV)—shows a significant correlation between the biomechanical quality of bone and the risk of immediate and long-term implant failure when implants are loaded functionally at time of insertion.<sup>1-4</sup> Furthermore, experimental and clinical studies published by Turkyilmaz et al. (2007), Pommer et al. (2014) and Wada et al. (2016) proved a significant correlation between primary implant stability measured by ITV and computerised axial tomography (CAT) scan-based bone densitometry in native alveolar bone.<sup>5-7</sup>

Since alveolar bone loss caused by natural atrophy or destructive iatrogenic procedures at the time of tooth extraction demands immediate (“alveolar ridge preservation”) or later (“guided bone regeneration”) bone augmentation procedures, Di Lallo et al. (2014) and Troedhan et al. (2014) in randomised clinical studies found a significant difference of primary implant stability when augmented alveolar bone was compared with native alveolar bone.<sup>8,9</sup> Recently, a randomised clinical study was performed by Troedhan et al. (2019) to investigate if a significant correlation between pre-surgical cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) bone densitometry performed with X-Mind trium CBCT (ACTEON) and primary implant stability in augmented sinus sites could be proven.





## Study design

A randomised clinical study was conducted on 128 patients. 101 patients with less than 4mm subantral crest-height underwent a uni- or bilateral transcresal hydrodynamic ultrasound Piezotome sinus lift (INTRALIFT) with four different and randomly allocated bone graft materials (mono- or biphasic mouldable and self-hardening biomaterial, granular synthetic and xenogeneic bone substitute) in 114 INTRALIFT sites. The transcresal Piezotome INTRALIFT provides the least risk of membrane-perforations and has proven to detach the peri-


osteum of the sinus membrane cleanly from the bony base of the antrum, thus preventing biases of the study already at the stage of the surgery. The clean detachment of the periosteum from the bone base does not interfere with the regular bone regeneration in the subantral scaffold by dissection or lacerations of the periosteal layer of the sinus membrane, which carries the pre-osteoblast cell layer.<sup>10–15</sup>

Figure 1 shows a split-mouth case with a bilateral INTRALIFT procedure: after a small crestal “booklet”-flap of approx. 7 x 7 mm is detached, the sinus floor is safely





13




14

opened with ultrasound Piezotome tips (Figs. 2 & 3), the sinus membrane then detached by the hydrodynamic cavitation effect of the Piezotome-tip TKW5 plugged into the approach canal (Figs. 4 & 5) and the subantral scaffold filled with 2cm of randomly assigned biomaterial (Figs. 6 & 7), followed by wound closure (Fig. 8). After a mean healing period of 8,4 months X-Mind trium CBCT scans were performed, the digital setup of the future bridge constructed with the AIS 3D app and the bone density determined in the sinus-lift site around a virtual implant (Fig. 9). Standardised implants (4 mm in diameter and 12 mm in length) were then inserted in the position of the virtual implant and insertion torque val-

ues (ITV) measured intra-surgically (test groups; Fig. 10). A total of 27 patients with sufficient native subantral crestal bone (min. crest width: 6 mm, height: 12 mm) were screened by X-Mind trium CBCT for bone density with the virtual implant (Fig. 11), the standardised implant inserted and the ITV recorded (control group). Figure 12 depicts the final result after implant insertion in the patient case shown in Figures 1–9.

### Study outcomes

As can be seen in Fig. 13, the mean CBCT bone density values in Hounsfield units (HU) at the implant site dif-



15



2D & 3D  
exams

MAC  
Compatible

75µm  
High resolution  
image


up to  
50%  
dose reduction

1 MINUTE  
implant planning  
report

0  
compromise  
with 3D image  
quality



IT'S TIME FOR  
TRUE LOW DOSE  
CBCT



ferred significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) between all four test groups and the control group. The precise numerical HU values are “translated” by AIS 3D app software and are also colour-coded for easier interpretation at first glance: the brighter the green the CBCT voxel matrix shows around the virtual implant, the higher the bone density, with a virtual neutral threshold of 500 HU. Contrary, the more reddish the CBCT voxel matrix around the digital implant is depicted, the worse the biomechanical bone quality (Fig. 14). The corresponding insertion torque values (ITV) of the inserted standardised implant measured at the location of the transcrestal INTRALIFT approach (Fig. 2) also differed significantly between all test groups and the control group. Figure 15 depicts the cumulative result of the correlation between HU and ITV values for all test groups and the control group.

### Clinical implications

As the presented study proves, contemporary CBCT technology adds another outstanding feature to the general CBCT-based digital workflow as the first and only tool to safely determine the grade of primary implant stability to be expected at each individual implant site already in the planning phase before the treatment or surgery is performed (Fig. 16). By using CBCT-based bone densitometry as an integrated diagnostic step in the digital workflow, the clinician for the first time can decide individually for each patient and each implant site whether an implant insertion with immediate prosthetic loading might bear an unacceptable risk of early or delayed implant loss, and can therefore inform the patient accordingly based on evidence.

Additionally, the results of this study lead to another interesting conclusion: since different biomaterials lead to significantly different biomechanical bone qualities for regenerated bone with precisely correlated higher values in CBCT-based bone densitometry and insertion torque values, the scientific dispute if autologous, xenogeneic or

synthetic bone graft should be considered as gold standard needs to take now a different pathway. In particular, native maxillary bone shows a very weak biomechanical quality and this weakness obviously can be substantially improved by biomaterials used for augmentations. Therefore, the clinician might be better advised to seek out the highest possible biomechanical quality of regenerated bone in GBR sites instead of pursuing complete bone regeneration by only native bone (which—histologically proven—is never the case even when using only autologous bone).

High-resolution CBCT devices, such as the X-Mind trium used in this study, seem to present an indispensable non-invasive and patient-friendly tool not only for enhanced diagnosis, treatment planning and the digital workflow but also for clinical research to add new knowledge to evidence-based dentistry.



### about the author

**Prof. Angelo Trödhan** is a specialist in cranio- and maxillo-facial surgery with a focus on traumatology, and reconstructive and cosmetic surgery of the face, and in dentistry. As a leading ultrasonic surgeon and scientist in ultrasonic surgery, dental implantology, bone augmentation and maxillofacial surgery, he is regularly invited to lecture at universities and congresses worldwide and to present at international workshops.

### contact

**Prof. Angelo Trödhan**  
 Erdbergstraße 52–60  
 Stiege 3, 1. Stock, TOP 5  
 1030 Vienna, Austria  
 Phone: +43 1 5449128  
 www.kieferchirurgie.at



# CURAPROX



SWISS PREMIUM  ORAL CARE