

Minimally invasive crown lengthening as an alternative to implant treatment

Author_Prof Marcel Wainwright, Germany

_Introduction

Crown fractures frequently force the treatment provider to make a clear-cut treatment choice between tooth preservation and dental implant treatment. Speakers at implantological congresses tend to present impressive implant/prosthetic solutions for anterior fracture cases, to the point where the audience could be tempted to believe that this was the only appropriate treatment alternative. The following case report documents a tooth preservation option that is simple to perform, minimally invasive and successful.

A 66-year-old male patient presented at our office with a fractured upper left lateral incisor (tooth #22). The clinical crown of this tooth had fractured in the marginal region, with the pulp of the tooth slightly

exposed in one location. The pulp tissue vitality test showed a weak positive result. The patient was completely free of pain symptoms. There was no root mobility. Available treatment options were discussed with the patient based on a single-tooth radiograph (Fig. 1). The neighbouring teeth #21 and 23 had been restored with all-ceramic crowns two years previously. However, a three-unit fixed prosthetic denture was rejected by the patient, as was surgical treatment with immediate implant placement following extraction.

Conservative tooth preservation was therefore the treatment of choice for patient and treatment provider alike. The patient was informed that tooth preservation could only be successful if the required orthograde root-canal instrumentation was possible, the tooth was symptom free and biological width could be restored prior to the fabrication of a crown restoration. If these requirements were not met, an implant/prosthetic solution would have to be resorted to as an alternative.

_Treatment sequence

Following extensive patient education and pre-therapeutic discourse, the patient received local anaesthetic, and tooth #22 was instrumented. This

Fig. 1 Single-tooth radiograph showing fractured tooth #22.


Fig. 2 Thermoplastic root filling in tooth #22.

Fig. 3 Radiograph of the orthograde root filling, found to be lege artis.

Fig. 4 Crown-lengthening kit (Acteon).

Fig. 5 Ultrasonic crown lengthening of tooth #22 using minimally invasive incisions.

Fig. 6 Microsurgical 8-0 suture.



was initially difficult, as the root canal was slightly obturated. Following instrumentation to ISO 20, the tooth was prepared for a root filling. Instrumentation to more than ISO 20 did not appear advisable, as the reduced diameter of tooth #22 already constituted an increased fracture hazard during preparation of the endodontic post or in the presence of lateral forces.

At the same visit, an orthograde endodontic filling was placed using a thermoplastic restorative technique (Thermafil, DENTSPLY DeTrey) and Sealapex (SybronEndo; Fig. 2). The control radiograph showed that the root-canal filling had been placed *lege artis* (Fig. 3).

Surgical crown lengthening was planned for four weeks later. Like all surgical interventions at our clinic, this crown lengthening was performed using ultrasonic surgical instruments (Acteon). In this protocol, the surgeon employs a surgical kit containing multiple calibrated diamond instruments (Fig. 4). A minimal circumferential incision was performed under local anaesthesia, completely dispensing with extensive flap elevation procedures or relieving incisions.

The marginal bone was prepared approximately 2 mm farther apically to provide sufficient biological width for a subsequent crown (Berglundh 1992). The use of ultrasonic surgical instruments allows the surgeon to proceed quickly while protecting the tissue, as these instruments help reduce the risk of iatrogenic damage to the root dentine, a risk that is elevated when using conventional rotary instruments (Fig. 5). The site was sutured closed using a synthetic monofilament thread (8-0 Trofile, Stoma; Fig. 6). Microsurgical suturing is indispensable in the anterior region. If it is neglected, this will result in tissue recession and impaired aesthetics.

The sutures were removed one week later. Wound healing was uneventful, and the patient was completely free of pain and other symptoms throughout the entire treatment. After an additional week, a post-and-core build-up was done using the Fibrapost and Sealacore system (Produits Dentaires; Fig. 7). The root canal was prepared with reamers, which are available in four different diameters (Fig. 8). The option to use the depth stop to pre-calibrate the reamer to the desired length was helpful, not least as a precaution against excessive preparation depths (Figs. 9 & 10). The root canal was prepared under copious irrigation and conditioned with a self-etching bonding system (Sealacore; Fig. 11).

The UDMA-based resin cement (Sealacore) was introduced into the root canal with a syringe and application tip (Fig. 12). The Fibrapost is a fibreglass-reinforced resin endodontic post (Fig. 13) with retention

laser

international magazine of laser dentistry



One issue free of charge!



Subscribe now!

You can also subscribe via
www.oemus.com/abo

☐ I hereby agree to receive a free trial subscription of **laser international magazine of laser dentistry** (4 issues per year). I would like to subscribe to **laser** for € 44 including shipping and VAT for German customers, € 46 including shipping and VAT for customers outside Germany, unless a written cancellation is sent within 14 days of the receipt of the trial subscription. The subscription will be renewed automatically every year until a written cancellation is sent to OEMUS MEDIA AG, Holbeinstr. 29, 04229 Leipzig, Germany, six weeks prior to the renewal date.

► **Reply** per Fax +49 341 48474-290 to OEMUS MEDIA AG or per E-mail to grasse@oemus-media.de

Last Name, First Name

Company

Street

ZIP/City/Country

E-mail

Signature

Notice of revocation: I am able to revoke the subscription within 14 days after my order by sending a written cancellation to OEMUS MEDIA AG, Holbeinstr. 29, 04229 Leipzig, Germany.

Signature



Fig. 7



Fig. 8




Fig. 9



Fig. 10



Fig. 11



Fig. 12

Fig. 7_Fibrapost and Sealacore system.

Fig. 8_Endodontic reamers.

Fig. 9_Defining preparation depth using the adjustable stop.

Fig. 10_Endodontic preparation using a reamer.

Fig. 11_Conditioning the lumen of the root canal for accepting the endodontic post.

Fig. 12_Applying the resin cement.

grooves. Our clinic uses metal-free endodontic post systems exclusively, as their biomechanical properties are clearly superior to those of metal posts. One important aspect is the absorption of the vertical lateral masticatory forces, which is better for the resin posts than for the metal posts because the former have material characteristics resembling those of natural dentine. In addition, the optical properties of the system (translucency, transparency) facilitate highly aesthetic anterior solutions while eliminating the risk of corrosive discoloration.

native to implant/prosthetic treatment, provided that the tooth is free of pain, that the preconditions for endodontic treatment are met, and that the root is stable. Today's post-and-core systems are expected to be metal free and to offer easy handling and aesthetic long-term results.

Our experience with the Fibrapost and Sealacore system has been positive throughout; it has produced excellent results and suits our procedures well.

Following core shaping and preparation (Fig. 14), a polyether impression was taken for an all-ceramic crown (IPS e.max, Ivoclar Vivadent). The definitive crown was delivered a week after tooth preparation and cemented with a dual-curing self-adhesive cement (RelyX Unicem, 3M ESPE; Fig. 15).

Fig. 13_Fibrapost with retention grooves.

Fig. 14_Core placement and preparation.

Fig. 15_All-ceramic crown in situ. Note the healthy and complete papillae and periodontal tissues.

Summary

When the clinical crown of a tooth is lost due to fracture, surgical crown lengthening and tooth restoration based on a post and core is a viable alter-




Fig. 13



Fig. 14



Fig. 15

_contact

implants

Prof Marcel Wainwright

DentalSpecialists
Kaiserswerther Markt 25
40489 Düsseldorf, Germany

www.dentalspecialists.de

42ND

October 5-6, 2012//Hamburg, Germany//Elysee Hotel

Congress President//Prof. Dr. Dr. Frank Palm/DE

Scientific Director//Dr. Roland Hille/DE

INTERNATIONAL ANNUAL CONGRESS OF THE DGZI




Quality driven implantology –
On the way to long term success

Speakers

Prof. Dr. Dr. Kai-Olaf Henkel/DE
Prof. Dr. Dr. George Khoury/DE
Prof. Dr. Dr. Albert Mehl/CH
Prof. Dr. Herbert Deppe/DE
Prof. Dr. Werner Götz/DE
Prof. Dr. Shoji Hayashi/JP
Prof. Dr. Andrea Mombelli/CH
Prof. Dr. Dr. Frank Palm/DE
Prof. Dr. Suheil Boutros/US
Prof. Dr. Peter Rammelsberg/DE
Prof. Dr. Anton Sculean/CH
Prof. Dr. Dr. Jörg R. Strub/DE
Prof. Dr. Hans-Peter Weber/US

Prof. Dr. Thomas Weischer/DE
Priv.-Doz. Dr. Andreas Bindl/CH
Dr. Tomohiro Ezaki/JP
Dr. Daniel Ferrari, MSc/DE
Dr. Sami Jade/LB
Dr. Ramy Fahmy Rezkallah/EG
Dr. Rolf Vollmer/DE
Dr. Osamu Yamashita/JP
ZTM Andreas Kunz/DE
ZTM Tom Lassen/DE
ZTM Christian Müller/DE
Mohamed Moataz M. Khamis
B.D.S., M.S., Ph.D./EG

Gold Sponsor:



Silver Sponsor:



Bronze Sponsor:



FAX REPLY

+49 341 48474-390

Please send me further information on the
42ND INTERNATIONAL ANNUAL CONGRESS
OF THE DGZI October 5-6, 2012, in Hamburg, Germany.



Office Stamp